## Principal series representations of $GL_2(F)$

Alberto Angurel Andres

November 13, 2024

**Disclaimer** This notes have been made in preparation for a one hour talk about principal series representations of  $GL_2(F)$  in a study group about automorphic representations. The content is based on [1] and [2]. They have been made for my own benefit and they can contain mistakes.

### **1** Important subgroups of $GL_2$

**Notation** Let F be a non-archimedean local field and denote by  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  its ring of integers and its maximal ideal, respectively. Denote by  $\kappa$  the residue field of F and by q its cardinality. Also, fix a generator  $\varpi$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

We will consider the group  $G = \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$  of non-singular 2-dimensional matrices with entries in F and the product of matrices as the operation. The following subgroups of F will be important (\* means any element in F)

- Maximal compact subgroup  $K_0 = \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ .
- Borel subgroup  $B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

• Unipotent subgroup 
$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

• Diagonal torus 
$$T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

**Remark 1.**  $B = T \ltimes N$ 

**Proposition 2.** ([1, section 7]) The group G satisfies the following decompositions.

• Bruhat decomposition

$$G = B \cup BwN$$

where 
$$w := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

• Iwasawa decomposition

 $G = BK_0$ 

• Cartan decomposition

$$G = \bigsqcup_{a \le b \in \mathbb{Z}} K_0 \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^a & 0\\ 0 & \varpi^b \end{pmatrix} K_0$$

#### **Proposition 3.** The group G is unimodular.

*Proof.* Since the Haar measure of a compact group is left and right invariant, then  $\delta_G(k) = 1$  for every k contained in any compact subgroup of G, like  $K_0$ . Consider the matrix

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that all the matrices appearing in the Cartan decomposition are of the form  $g^b w g^a w$ . Since  $w \in K_0$ , then  $\delta_G(w) = 1$  and we just have to prove that  $\delta_G(g) = 1$ . Consider the Iwahori subgroups

$$I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in K_0 : c \in \mathfrak{p} \right\}, \quad \overline{I} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in K_0 : b \in \mathfrak{p} \right\}$$

Since they have the same index in  $K_0$ , they also have the same Haar measure. Hence

$$\mu(I) = \mu(\overline{I}) = \mu(gIg^{-1}) = \delta_G(g)\mu(I) \Rightarrow \delta_G(g) = 1$$

**Proposition 4.** The modulus character of B is given by

$$\delta_B: \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{|d|}{|a|}$$

*Proof.* Since every element of N is contained in an open compact subgroup, then  $\delta_B(n) = 1 \ \forall n \in N.$ 

Consider the matrix g from the proof of proposition 3 and let  $h = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in B \cap K_0$ . Since  $g^{-1}hg = \begin{pmatrix} a & \varpi b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $g^{-1}Bg$  has index q in B. Thus  $\delta_B(g) = q^{-1}$ .

Since the modulus character is trivial in N and in the centre of B, we deduce that

$$\delta_B \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right] = \frac{|d|}{|a|}$$

### 2 Representations of G

The goal of this talk is to clasify (some of) the irreducible representations of G. Those we will classify are the principal series representations, i.e., those that arise as a sub-representation of an induced one from B.

**Definition 5.** Let V be a representation of G and let  $\theta$  be a character of N. We denote

$$V_{\theta} := \frac{V}{\langle (n - \theta(n))v : n \in N \rangle}$$

When  $\theta$  is the trivial character, the quotient

$$V_N := \frac{V}{(N-1)V}$$

is called the Jaquet module of V.

**Proposition 6.** ([1, section 8.1, lemma]) The functor  $V \to V_N$  is exact and additive. Furthermore, some  $v \in V$  belongs to the kernel of this map if and only if there is a compact subset  $N_0 \subset N$  such that

$$\int_{N_0} nv \, d\mu_N = 0$$

**Definition 7.** A representation of G is called *supercuspidal* if  $V_N \neq 0$ .

The goal of this talk is to provide a classification theorem for the representations of G that are not supercusptidal. They are also called *principal series representations*.

**Theorem 8.** An irreducible, smooth representation V of G is not supercuspidal if and only if it is isomorphic to a G-subspace of  $\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi$ , for some character  $\chi$  of T.

*Proof.* Assume that V is isomorphic to a G-subspace of  $\operatorname{Ind}_B^G(\chi)$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_T(V_N,\chi) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_B(V,\chi) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_G(V,\operatorname{Ind}_B^G\chi) \neq 0$$

where the first isomorphism comes from the fact that  $\chi$  is trivial of N (as a representation of B), so any B-homomorphism  $V \to \chi$  factors through  $V_N$ . The second isomorphism is due to Frobenius reciprocity.

The last group of homomorphism is not zero because  $V \subset \operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi$ . Indeed, by Schur's lemma

$$\mathbb{C} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G}(V, V) \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{G}(V, \operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G} \chi)$$
(1)

In particular, we get that  $V_N \neq 0$ , so V is supercuspidal.

Conversely, assume that  $V_N \neq 0$  and choose some  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . Since V is irreducible, then V = Gv. Let  $K \subset K_0$  be a compact open subgroup fixing v. Since K has finite index in  $K_0$ , then  $K_0v$  is finitely generated. Since  $G = BK_0$ , then  $K_0v$  generates V as a B-representation and thus its image generate  $V_N$  over T.

Therefore,  $V_N$  is finitely generated over T. Choose a minimal generating set  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_t\}$ . By Zorn's lemma,  $V_N$  has a maximal T-subspace U containing  $u_1, \ldots, u_{t-1}$  such that  $u_t \notin U$ . Then  $\chi = V_N/U$  is an irreducible representation of T, hence a character. Consider the surjection

$$\operatorname{Hom}_G(V, \operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_T(V_N, \chi) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_T(\chi, \chi) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

Hence  $\operatorname{Hom}_G(V, \operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi) \neq 0$  and, since V is an irreducible G-representation, then V is isomorphic to a subspace of  $\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi$ .

# **3** Irreducibility of $\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi$

By theorem 8, we are interested in studying the *G*-subspaces of  $\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi$ , where  $\chi$  is a character of *T*. Turns out that this representations are in most cases irreducible.

**Theorem 9.** Let  $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$  be a character of T and set  $X = \operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi$ . Then

- 1. X is reducible if and only if  $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}$  is either the trivial character or  $x \mapsto |x|^2$ .
- 2. When X is reducible, it satisfies the following
  - (a) The G-composition length of X is 2.
  - (b) One composition factor of X has dimension 1 and the other is infinite dimensional.
  - (c) X has a 1-dimensional subspace when  $\chi_1\chi_2^{-1} = 1$  and a 1-dimensional quotient when  $\chi_1\chi_2^{-1}(x) = |x|^2$ .

The goal of this section will be to present a proof of theorem 9. However, the first step is to study X as a B representation. In order to do that, its Jaquet module has an expelicit structure due to the following lemma.

**Lemma 10.** (Restriction-Induction) Let U be a (non-necessarily irreducible) smooth representation of T and let  $X := \operatorname{Ind}_B^G U$ . There is a short exact sequence of representations of T

$$0 \longrightarrow U^w \otimes \delta_B^{-1} \longrightarrow X_N \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\delta_B$  is the modular character of B and  $U^w$  is the conjugate representation U in which  $b \in B$  acts by the action of  $wbw^{-1}$  on U.

*Proof.* There is a surjective B-map  $X \to U$  given by  $f \mapsto f(1)$ . Call V the kernel of this map, so there is an exact sequence of representations of B

 $0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow 0$ 

By proposition 6, the following is also exact

 $0 \longrightarrow V_N \longrightarrow X_N \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow 0$ 

To complete the proof, we need to show that  $V_N = U^w \otimes \delta_B^{-1}$ .

Note that  $f \in V$  if and only if  $f(b) = 0 \ \forall b \in B$ . Since  $G = B \cup BwN$ , this is equivalent to  $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset BwN$ . For every  $x \in F$ , consider the matrix  $g_x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . When |x| is small enough, the smoothness of f implies that  $f(g_x) = 0$ . Considering the identity

$$g_x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in Bw \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

we see that  $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset BwN_0$ , for some open compact  $N_0 \subset N$ .

For every  $f \in V$ , define a function

$$f_N: T \to U, x \mapsto \int_N f(xwn) dn$$

By the definition of the modular character and the left invariance of the Haas measure,

$$(tf)_N(x) = \int_N f(xwnt) \, dn = \delta_B^{-1}(t) \int_N f(xt^w wn) \, dn = \delta_B^{-1}(t)(t^w f_N)(x)$$

Hence we have a B-homomorphism

$$V \to \delta_B^{-1} \otimes U^w : f \mapsto f_N(1)$$

which induces an isomorphism  $V_N \cong \delta_B^{-1} \otimes U^w$  by proposition 6.

**Proposition 11.** As a *B*-representation,  $\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi$  has composition length 3. Two composition factors have dimension one, so the third one is infinite dimensional.

*Proof.* By lemma 10, there is an exact sequence of *B*-representations

 $0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0$ 

where  $V_N \cong \delta_B^{-1} \chi^w$ . In particular,  $V_N$  is one dimensional. Denote by V(N) the kernel of the map  $V \to V_N$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V(N) \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow V_N \longrightarrow 0$$

Hence we just need to prove that V(N) is irreducible.

**Claim**: For all  $\theta \in \hat{N}$ ,  $V_{\theta}$  is one dimensional.

We have already proven the claim for the trivial character  $1_N$ , since  $V_N$  is isomprinc to the character  $\delta_B^{-1} \chi^w$ .

To extend this result for other characters  $\theta$ , note that  $f \in X$  belongs to V if and only if its support is contained in BwN. Hence there is an N-equivariant isomorphism from

 $\Psi: V \to C^{\infty}_{c}(N), \ f \mapsto (n \mapsto f(wn))$ 

In this new setting, we have an isomorphism

$$C_c^{\infty}(N)_N \to C_c^{\infty}(N)_{\theta}, \ f(n) \mapsto \theta(n) f(n)$$

Hence  $V_{\theta}$  is one dimensional.

Now we can prove that V(N) is irreducible as a *B*-representation. Let *W* be a non-zero subrepresentation. Since *N* is abelian, all its irreducible representations are characters. Hence there is some non-trivial character  $\theta$  such that  $W_{\theta} \neq 0$ . Given a different non-trivial character  $\theta'$ , there is some  $x \in F$  such that  $\theta'(y) = \theta(xy)$  for every  $y \in F$ . Denoting by

$$m_x = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the action of  $m_x$  induces an isomorphism  $W_{\theta} \to W_{\theta'}$ , since  $m_y \in B$  and W is a *B*-representation. Hence  $W'_{\theta} \neq 0$ . Hence  $(V/W)_{\theta} = 0$  for all characters of N, so W = V.

We will now study  $\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi$  as a *G*-representation.

**Proposition 12.** Let  $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$  be a character of *T*. Then  $X = \text{Ind}_B^G \chi$  contians a (unique) one-dimensional *G*-subspace if and only if  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ .

*Proof.* Assume f spans a G-stable subspace. Then  $f \notin V$  since  $\operatorname{supp}(f)$  has to be right G-invariant and it cannot be contained in  $BwN_0$  for some compact  $N_0 \subset N$ , as it happens with all the functions in V (see the proof of lemma 10).

The canonical N-map  $X \to \mathbb{C} \cong X/V$  identifies the N-space  $\mathbb{C}f$ . Hence  $nf = f \ \forall n \in N$ . Take  $x \in F$  and consider the identity

$$w\begin{pmatrix}1 & x\\0 & 1\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}1 & x^{-1}\\0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}-x^{-1} & 0\\0 & x\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\x^{-1} & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

Since f is locally constant, then f is fixed under right translation by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  when |x| is sufficiently large. Since f is also fixed by N, we have

$$f(w) = \chi_1(-1)\chi_1^{-1}\chi_2(x)f(1)$$

for all  $x \in F^*$  of sufficiently large absolute value. Thus  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ . Then, as a *B*-representation, then

$$\chi(b) = \chi_1(\det b) \ \forall b \in B$$

Thus

$$X = \chi_1(\det g) \operatorname{Ind}_B^G(\mathbb{C}_B)$$
(2)

where  $\mathbb{C}_B$  is the trivial *B*-representation. The function

$$f: G \to \mathbb{C}: g \mapsto \chi_1(\det g)$$

generates an invariant subspace.

*Proof of theorem 9.* Assume X is reducible. By proposition 11, X contains a finite dimensional subspace or a finite dimensional quotient.

Assume the first alternative. Since V does not contain any finite dimensional G-subspace, X contains a one dimensionsal G-subspace L satisfying that  $L \cap V = 0$ . Thus we are in the case when  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ .

The quotient X/L is thus isomorphic to V, which has B-composition length 2 and a unique one dimensional quotient  $V_N \cong (\chi_1 \circ \det) \delta_B^{-1}$ . If it was a G quotient, then G would act on  $V_N$  by a  $\phi \circ \det$ , where  $\phi$  is a character of  $F^*$ . Indeed, since the commutator subgroup of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$  is  $\operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ , then all characters of G are of this form. However, this is not possible by proposition 4.

In the case when X has a finite dimensional quotient, then  $X^{\vee}$  has a one dimensional subspace. By the duality theorem,

$$X^{\vee} = \operatorname{Ind}_B^G \delta_B^{-1} \chi^{-1}$$
  
Hence we are in the situation where  $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}(x) = |x|^2$ .

1	-	-	-	

## 4 Steinberg representation and final classification of noncuspidal representations.

**Definition 13.** Let  $\chi_1, \chi_2 : F^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$  be two smooth characters and denote by  $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$  the corresponding character of *T*. Consider the representation

$$I(\chi_1,\chi_2) = \operatorname{Ind}_B^G(\delta_B^{-1/2} \otimes \chi)$$

Explicitly, this representation is described as

$$I(\chi_1,\chi_2) = \left\{ f: G \to \mathbb{C} \text{ locally constant} : f\left[ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} g \right] = \sqrt{\frac{|a|}{|d|}} \chi_1(a)\chi_2(d)f(g) \right\}$$

By the duality theorem, we have the following result

#### Proposition 14.

$$I(\chi) = I(\chi_1, \chi_2)^{\vee} \cong I(\chi_1^{-1}, \chi_2^{-1})$$

**Definition 15.** The *Steinberg representation* of G, denoted by  $St_G$  is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_B^G(\mathbb{C}_B) \longrightarrow \operatorname{St}_G \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_G$  and  $\mathbb{C}_B$  denote the trivial representations of G and B, respectively.

Before proving the self-duality of the Steinberg representation, consider the following lemma.

**Lemma 16.** Let  $\chi$  and  $\xi$  be characters of T. Then the space  $\operatorname{Hom}_G(\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi, \operatorname{Ind}_G^B \xi)$  has dimension 1 if  $\xi = \chi$  or  $\chi = \chi^w \delta_B^{-1}$ .

Proof. By Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G}\chi,\operatorname{Ind}_{G}^{B}\xi)\cong\operatorname{Hom}_{T}((\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G}\chi)_{N},\xi)$$

By lemma 10, the Jaquet module fits as a T-representation into the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \chi^w \delta_B^{-1} \longrightarrow (\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi)_N \longrightarrow \chi \longrightarrow 0$$

If  $\chi \neq \chi^w \delta_B^{-1}$ , the above exact sequence splits and the lemma is clear. When  $\chi = \chi^w \delta_B^{-1}$  then  $\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \chi$  is irreducible and the lemma follows by Schur's lemma.

Proposition 17. The Steinberg representation is self-dual.

*Proof.* Consider the exact sequence

 $0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{C}_B \longrightarrow \operatorname{St}_G \longrightarrow 0$ 

Dualising it,

$$0 \longrightarrow St_G^{\vee} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_B^G \delta_B^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_G \longrightarrow 0$$

By lemma 16, we have that

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{C}_B, \operatorname{Ind}_B^G \delta_B^{-1}) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

Since those representations are not isomorphic, any non-zero homomorphism induces an isomorphism  $\operatorname{St}_G \cong \operatorname{St}_G^{\vee}$ . Considering all the above, the final classification theorem follows.

**Theorem 18.** The isomorphism classes of irreducible, non-cuspidal representations of G are

- $I(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ , where  $\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} \neq |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$ .
- The one dimensional representations  $\phi \circ \det$ , where  $\phi$  ranges over the characters of  $F^*$ .
- The special representations  $(\phi \circ \det) \operatorname{St}_G$ , where  $\phi$  ranges over the characters of  $F^*$ .

The classes in this list are all distinct except  $I(\chi_1, \chi_2) \cong I(\chi_2, \chi_1)$  in the first case.

### References

- Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart. The local Langlands conjecture for GL(2). Vol. 335. Springer Verlag, 2006.
- [2] David Loeffler. "Modular Forms and Representations of GL(2)". In: (2018).